

REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated February 1, 2006. Claims 1-8 remain pending in this application. Claim 1 is the independent claim. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Applicants note with appreciation that indication that Claims 3-6 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of their respective base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants respectfully have added a limitation from Claim 3 into Claim 1 and respectfully believe the claims to be presently allowable.


On the merits, the Office Action rejected Claims 1-2 and 7-8 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kage et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,377,241; hereinafter "Kage") in view of Morita (U.S. Patent No. 5,109,225; hereinafter "Morita") for the reasons of record. Applicants respectfully believe the rejection to be moot in light of the addition of the limitation from Claim 3 and respectfully requests withdrawal of the § 103 rejection. Neither Kage nor Morita recite or suggest the function enables pointer coordinates to be assigned to the information processing device, which pointer coordinates are an average of certain pointer coordinates inputted into the memory.

Claims 2-8 depend from independent Claim 1 discussed above and are believed patentable for at least the same reasons. In

addition, Applicants respectfully believe Claims 2-8 to be independently patentable and request separate consideration of each claim.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that independent claim 1, and the remaining claims depending therefrom, are clearly patentably distinguishable over the cited and applied reference. Accordingly, allowance of the currently-pending claims is now respectfully submitted to be justified, and favorable consideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Aaron Waxler, Reg. No. 48,027
Attorney
(914) 333-9608